August 12, 1998

Dear Landowner:

As we all know, the attitude of human kind about wetlands is changing. Once considered wastelands, the federal government encouraged and supported landowners to drain and fill wetlands. Now, we recognize their value to provide flood control, ground water recharge, retain and recycle chemical pollutants and sediments and provide habitat for fish and wildlife. Additional wetland loss so concerned the National Governors' Association that its members unanimously endorsed a policy to halt the loss of wetlands and promote long term net gain of wetlands nationwide. Montana’s wetlands are mainly on private land. So to protect them, we all need to work together.

I introduce, with pride, the land stewards described in this wetlands booklet. They have demonstrated their commitment to a healthy environment by sustaining wetlands while maintaining economically viable farms, ranches and developments. In many cases, wetlands protection has meant increased land values.

This booklet also describes many voluntary programs to restore, conserve and protect wetlands. I hope that our mutual commitments to both a healthy environment and the maintenance of private property values will prompt many of you to participate in these voluntary partnerships. By all working together, we can better protect this special corner of God’s good earth.

Sincerely,

MARC RACICOT
Governor
The purpose of this guide is to provide information for Montana landowners’ informed use and management of their wetlands, bringing to life options for wetland protection, enhancement, and restoration. Simplified charts are provided to help private landowners pursue the options for themselves, by identifying and accessing the wealth of technical and financial assistance available for wetlands. And finally, for those bewildered by current wetland laws and regulations, the guide offers simple guidance.

Agriculture, ranching, recreation, and wildlife habitat are all important land uses in Montana, and they often occur on or impact wetlands, lands where water is the dominant factor determining soil, plant and animal development. These impacts raise difficult questions on both large and small acreage operations all across the state: How can we balance the needs of property owners to farm, ranch, or build on their land while maintaining the beneficial functions of natural wetlands? How can we respect individual property rights and assure that traditional land uses continue while conserving both privately and publicly owned wetlands? Answers to these questions depend on landowners’ understanding the many functions of wetlands and how our land-use choices affect these valuable resources.

This guide provides a tool to help landowners use and manage wetlands. In this guide, you’ll find:

**SECTION 1—WHAT ARE WETLANDS**
answers some of your questions about wetlands, their value, and their function

**SECTION 2—WORKING WITH WETLANDS**
presents a variety of ways that Montanans manage their wetlands and reap the rewards of conserving wetlands with the help of government and other programs and through traditional land management practices

**SECTION 3—GETTING HELP: WHO, WHAT AND WHERE**
contains charts to help you locate the wide variety of wetland-related programs and services available in Montana

**SECTION 4—WETLAND LAWS, PERMITS AND REGULATIONS**
describes current legislation and regulations, and provides time-saving charts to help you navigate the maze of federal, state and tribal laws governing Montana wetlands
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FOREWORD
Do you have a place on your land where you watch ducks? Or where your tractor seems to always get stuck? Chances are you have a wetland. Montana's wetlands include marshes, fens, wet meadows, riparian areas along rivers and streams, prairie potholes, and spring seeps. In a wetland, water is often on or near the surface all or part of the year; the soil is poorly drained and may smell like rotten eggs and look gray; and water-tolerant plants such as cottonwoods, willows, cattails, rushes, and sedges may be present.

What Do You Look For?

Identifying wetlands can be challenging. Generally, three attributes are present:

- **Wetland hydrology**: water at or near the land surface, all or part of the year
- **Hydric soils**: soils that are poorly drained and develop certain soil characteristics due to the presence of water and absence of oxygen
- **Hydrophytes**: vegetation adapted to life in wet soils

*Ute Ladies Tresses, Spiranthus diluvialis*
How Can You Be Sure That You Have A Wetland?

Wetlands aren’t always wet

As you can see in the three pictures at left, the amount of moisture in a wetland can vary during the year. During some seasons, a wetland may actually be dry.

If you think your land contains a wetland, ask a wetland specialist. Wetland specialists have training in vegetation, soils and hydrology. Some wetland specialists work for agencies like the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and the Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) and others are private consultants.

Be sure to accompany this person so that you can ask questions and understand exactly where the wetland is and what its characteristics are. The specialist can also give you the names of people you need to contact about projects that might affect the wetland. Most land management practices, building projects, and other actions that occur in or near wetlands require state and/or federal permits; information on these permits and the agencies that issue them is in Sections Three and Four.

Temporarily flooded pothole wetlands fill in the spring...

and then slowly dry up during the summer months.

By fall, the wetland may no longer be wet.

Shallow wetlands like this one provide valuable habitat for breeding birds.
What Kinds Of Wetlands Occur In Montana?

- Riverine wetlands are associated with flowing water of rivers and streams. Examples: sloughs, abandoned meanders, and river and creek margins.

- Depressional wetlands are low spots on the landscape. Examples: glacial and prairie potholes, saline basins, wet meadows, and ephemeral ponds.

- Lacustrine fringe wetlands are associated with lakes or deep water habitats. Examples: margins around mud flats, lakes, reservoirs and ponds.

- Slope wetlands are groundwater discharge areas on a topographic gradient. Examples: sloping wet meadows, subalpine and montane areas of higher elevation, fens, springs and seeps.

- Artificial wetlands are created by human-related activities. Examples: seeps along irrigation canals.
Montana Wetlands: Are They Wastelands Or Valuable Resources?

Montanans’ understanding and management of their wetlands has been dynamic and has evolved over time. For many years, wetlands were considered wastelands by farmers, developers, city officials and the general public because the land was too wet to plant crops or construct buildings on them. The federal government even encouraged the conversion of wetlands to dry land by granting subsidies to fill and drain them. As a result of all this activity, wetlands were lost in every state. It is estimated that about one-fourth of Montana’s wetlands were lost because of agriculture and urbanization.

Today, however, we know wetlands are an important natural resource for waterfowl and other wildlife habitat, and for maintaining water quality. Federal and state governments provide technical assistance and some funding to protect remaining wetlands and restore lost or degraded wetlands.

Wetlands Losses (1780 - 1980)

![Map showing wetlands losses](image)

A wetland is like a sponge

Soil acts like a sponge to absorb water entering the wetland and releasing it slowly. Soil, together with plants growing in it, also acts like a filter to trap pollutants in the water. As a result, water leaves the wetland cleaner than when it entered.

Bill Tash: Reflections From An “Old Flood-Irrigator’s Perspective”

One of the most important aspects of managing waters in headwater states such as Montana is to demonstrate the advantage of historical practices such as flood irrigation. With only 88 frost-free days at over 6,000 feet elevations, such as the Big Hole Basin and the Centennial Valley, the only feasible crop that can be raised is native grass hay to supplement livestock feed needs in the long winters and to irrigate the valley meadows for aftermath grazing.

These century-old ranch operations, many of them in the same families since they were homesteaded, are classic examples of what good land and resource stewardship can and has accomplished. These ranchers have learned (sometimes the hard way) the most cost-effective ways to conduct their businesses and to stay in such a demanding business.

The high mountain valleys of southwestern Montana have been described as giant sponges that have the capability to store flood water runoff when the snow banks melt, especially when these flood waters are controlled and beneficially used for irrigation.

Other beneficial uses must also be recognized from this practice of “banking” the waters at these upper reaches of our river systems. Soil reservoirs are among the few affordable options we have left to allow water to “trickle-down” in a controlled release to sustain instream flows, especially for late season needs.

Flood control very certainly must be recognized as an important result of these historical practices. Flood run-off without effective controls can, and too often does, have a cumulative adverse effect on downriver segments.

From this old flood irrigator’s perspective; you can’t make water run uphill, but you can spread it around as it goes the other way.

Bill Tash
Why Are Wetlands Important?

For many years, we were unaware of the important functions of wetlands. As our knowledge of these functions increased, we have come to understand the role that careful management plays in keeping natural wetland systems in balance. Montana's remaining wetlands provide services essential to our state's wildlife, water quality, and flood control. A healthy wetland can:

- Soak up large volumes of water and gradually release it to adjacent streams or water bodies during low flow periods of the year
- Recharge wells and aquifers by holding water long enough to allow the water to percolate into underlying soil, which replenishes groundwater supplies
- Support vegetation that acts as a flood buffer and stabilizes the shoreline
- Enhance water quality by holding sediments and toxins
- Naturally purify water by absorbing nutrients such as nitrogen
- Decompose organic matter and incorporate nutrients back into the food chain
- Provide habitat (food, water, shelter, and space) for millions of ducks, geese, shorebirds, and swans
- Provide habitat for numerous bird, mammal, reptile, and amphibian species
- Provide shallow water for freshwater fish to spawn, shelter and feed
- Protect habitat for threatened and endangered species. In Montana, 39 percent of endangered species are found in or are dependent on wetlands.
How Do Wetlands Benefit Ranchers And Farmers?

In the arid west, the availability of water directly affects the value of land—especially for those whose livelihoods depend on agricultural production. Wetlands are a source of water that is often overlooked. Wetlands benefit farms and ranches because they:

- Maintain late summer stream flows, which are critical for irrigating crops, watering stock, sustaining fisheries and recharging aquifers
- Maintain a higher water table than non-wetland areas, which increases subsurface irrigation and production of forage
- Filter sediment, which protects the quality of water, prolongs the life of irrigation pumps, and reduces siltation of ponds and irrigation ditches
- Reduce velocity of flood waters and bank erosion, which minimizes property losses
- Filter out chemicals applied to the land, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and pesticides, which helps keep these elements from entering nearby lakes, streams or ground water
- Provide shrubs and trees that shelter livestock.

Although these wetland functions benefit farms and ranches, some of these benefits also apply to everyone who lives in Montana. Additional wetlands benefits include:

- Recreational opportunities such as hiking, fishing, hunting, and wildlife viewing
- Revenues from fishing, big game, waterfowl, and upland game bird licenses and activities
- Educational opportunities to develop knowledge and skills in nature study
- Wastewater treatment—a few small communities have constructed wetlands for this purpose.

Can Livestock Enhance Wetlands?

Can livestock, under some circumstances, enhance wetlands for waterfowl production? Research has shown that livestock may be used to help control unwanted plants. For example, reed canarygrass is taking over ungrazed wetlands, diminishing the diversity of the wetland plant community, and making these areas unfit for breeding ducks. Wendy Williams, of the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), suggests that it may be possible for stockgrowers to suppress this unwanted plant by grazing livestock at critical times, such as when the canarygrass is immature, before rhizome development. Light to moderate grazing in the spring and fall may thereby create preferred brood habitat for breeding ducks. If you think your wetland may have problems with invasive plants, you may want to get help and experiment. Many questions about wetlands are yet to be solved by observant managers.
### Wetlands Have Benefits And Drawbacks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Drawbacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provides subirrigation</td>
<td>Cost to landowners of unusable land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracts wildlife, which enhances educational and recreational value</td>
<td>Attracts wildlife, which may bring unwanted side effects such as grazing on ornamental plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides flood protection due to sponge effect of wetland</td>
<td>Creates mosquito breeding area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supports vegetation that acts as a flood buffer and stabilizes the shoreline</td>
<td>Restored wetland may become subject to jurisdictional protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May increase property values or provide additional income</td>
<td>Wetland projects may require water rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhances water quality by holding sediments and toxins</td>
<td>Elevated water levels can adversely affect septic systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Any Tax Relief In Sight?

Concerns about property value and tax relief are shared by landowners, and have been recognized by lawmakers. At the federal level, for example, Senator Daschle of South Dakota introduced wetlands restoration and conservation legislation (S. 1907) before Congress in April of 1998. If passed, this legislation would provide a refundable tax credit to farmers for the restoration and conservation of wetlands. Daschle cited the extraordinary value that wetlands can provide as habitat for plants and waterfowl, as a filter for water, and as a buffer against flooding. He also recognized the frustration that wetlands may generate when the field can't be efficiently tilled or planted. He called on Congress to provide a more comprehensive set of incentives than now exists to restore degraded wetlands and to ensure their long-term protection. His bill would also create a tax credit for owners of wetlands, farmed wetlands, and prior-converted crop lands. At least 20 states currently offer some form of property tax relief for landowners who preserve and protect wetlands on their private property. However, currently there is no property tax relief program for wetlands in Montana. This is something that private landowners and the Montana Wetlands Council could investigate.
What Happens If We Interfere With Wetlands?

When wetlands are destroyed, their loss can create far-reaching impacts:
- Decline in wildlife populations
- Increased flood damage
- Increased sediment and nutrients in lakes and streams
- Contaminated drinking water and irrigation wells
- Reduced fish productivity due to poor water quality and habitat loss
- Increased costs of treating drinking water
- Reduced recreational opportunities and loss of tourist dollars
- Lower water tables
- Reduced production of livestock

Because wetlands destruction can have such far-reaching impacts, alterations to wetlands need to be reviewed by the appropriate agencies. (See Sections Three and Four.) One Montana landowner learned this lesson the hard way. He unknowingly began to build a house and pond in a wetland without first learning about wetlands protection laws and necessary permits. (Sometimes pond building can be beneficial; see Section Two.) After much hard work, he had to comply with the law and reclaim lost ground with the help of an environmental engineering company.

Before
- Stripped entire streamside (riparian) area of vegetation and topsoil during construction of a residential building pad, driveway, and recreational pond
- Constructed pond (right) in the central portion of the wetland
- Constructed building pad over a portion of the wetland using material excavated for the pond
- Piled cottonwood trees and stumps near the stream bank and burned them, which created the threat of ash and sediment washing into the stream and impacting water quality

After
- Removed downed trees, ash, and other debris from the flood plain
- Removed granular soil that was overlying the former ground surface on the flood plain
- Returned the area to the approximate grade before construction began
- Backfilled pond with similar granular soil
- Re-established vegetation, including native wetland species, on the flood plain
- Constructed erosion control features along the south and east sides of the building pad, and reconstructed the slopes of the building pad
- Reduced sediment erosion during spring floods by leaving boulders, cobbles and woody debris on the ground surface of the disturbed area
Do Wetlands Affect Human Health?

Human health is directly associated with wetlands because we all need clean water. Wetlands break down and hold nutrients, chemical pesticides, salts, sediments, and organic wastes. The pollutants can enter our water through runoff from sources such as city streets, lawns, construction sites, mining operations, and agricultural fields. In times of normal flow, wetlands act like a giant sponge and filter to reduce the amount of these elements entering lakes, streams and, ultimately, your drinking water!

The Montana State Constitution guarantees a healthy environment for its citizens. Shared responsibility for protection and improvement of Montana’s water is found in Section One of Article IX and says, “The state and each person shall maintain and improve a clean and healthful environment in Montana for present and future generations.”

As you consider your wetland, read on to meet some of the folks who have restored and/or protected their wetlands.
In this section, you’ll meet farmers and ranchers who live and work in many parts of Montana. On both large and small operations, they show the various ways that Montana landowners can manage wetlands. The Teigen family—the first example—shows that wetlands conservation has been around since homesteading days. The other people in the examples share their experience with the wetlands programs and technical assistance available from state and federal agencies.

Stan and Betty Welbourn, former farmers; Ravalli County
Larry and Kathy Schweitzer, landscapers; Judith Basin County
Poncho and Beverly McCoy, ranchers; Beaverhead County:
Geof and Cathie Foote, ranchers; Powell County

The Teigen family has been ranching in central Montana since 1884 when three cousins from Norway drove three bands of sheep northeast from Bozeman in search of good water and open grass. They homesteaded before barbed wire in the area between Grassrange and Winnet. Today, the central portion of the ranch straddles Highway 200 and McDonald creek for about 13 miles, with the creek meanderings easily tripling that distance. The ranch also stretches along parts of Elk Creek to the south, Ford Creek to the north, near War Horse National Wildlife Refuge, as well as additional summer pasture near Cat Creek to...
the northeast. Originally, sheep bought and paid for the place, but cattle have long since been paying the bills.

One isn’t likely to hear the word “wetlands” spoken around the ranch, but wetlands are something you’re likely to see. We practice a hands-off hooves-on approach to wetland management. Our main focus is caring for our cattle, which has us indirectly caring for our wetlands.

Our cattle are on the creek primarily during winter months, when frozen soil and creek banks are more tolerant of hoof impaction than during warmer months. The cattle go out into the hills to summer pastures as soon as possible in the spring, allowing for hay to be cut on the creek bottoms over the summer. By running a conservative cow-to-land ratio, we can trust that the cattle won’t abuse their pastures, so we don’t need to fence off areas or monitor excessively.

We develop stock water resources to better utilize grass all throughout pastures, using offsite water (wells, tanks, and reservoirs) wherever possible. Even when cattle can reach creek water in winter, we prefer them drinking from offsite water because it’s warmer. When a cow drinks cold creek water, she’s got to eat extra hay to warm up again. Only then can she give all the necessary attention needed by her calf. That’s a subtle but important area which can help to produce better performing cattle.

Cleaner offsite water sources in the summer also give us a better performance from cattle than if they are always slurping up muddy creek water. A higher yielding cattle herd can pay for its own improved water sources in the long run. And, we believe that the long run is the only way to be in ranching.

We like the thick brush along the creek for shelter in the winter. The more warmth our cattle get from thick growth in our creek bottoms, the less warmth we need to provide them in the form of food. If cattle are out in the open in a harsh winter, we have to feed them proportionally more to keep their “internal combustion engines” running. During calving, the cows head right for the shelter and privacy of thick brush and trees along the creek bottoms.

We also like the fact that the lush vegetation along the creeks acts as a sponge to help minimize spring flooding and prolongs runoff into the dry season. By not farming right up to the edge of the creek, we leave filter strips to help keep runoff water clean and prevent our soil from ending up in the Gulf of Mexico. Finally, if abundance and diversity of wildlife are any indication of a well balanced ecology, then we must be doing something right.

Dan Teigen, Teigen Family
Teigen Land and Livestock Company
Teigen, MT 59084
(406) 428-2105
Meet Bill Lundstrom

From Rancher To Waterfowl Manager

Bill Lundstrom
Shooting Preserve Manager
3410 Robertson Road
Ronan, MT 59864
(406) 676-2044

Bill Lundstrom owns a 280-acre ranch in the Mission Valley, halfway between Nine Pipe National Wildlife Refuge and Pablo National Wildlife Refuge on the Flathead Indian Reservation. He has always enjoyed raising birds and felt that his land was better suited to waterfowl than cattle ranching, which was barely getting him by. He wanted to improve the waterfowl habitat and start a hunting club business, so he searched for assistance. One contact finally led to another and six years of collaboration with federal agencies has paid off for Mr. Lundstrom. He has restored 42 acres of wetland, set aside 160 acres of grassland in a conservation program and signed a perpetual conservation easement on his entire 280 acres. Acquisition of funding and technical expertise have enabled Mr. Lundstrom to earn a better income through the hunting club business, to maintain his land, and to continue to live on it while feeling that he’s doing something for wildlife.

“More information needs to be out there because farmers and ranchers are in a financial bind. Any help the various agencies give will go a long way toward helping them to preserve wildlife on their lands.”

Actions
- Created three new ponds and enhanced eight others
- Established a five-acre wetland surrounded by a ten-acre fenced area to restrict cattle from stream
- Constructed an outlet structure from a nearby irrigation ditch to provide water for the wetland
- Restored an additional 37 acres of wetlands
- Conserved 160 acres of grassland surrounding the wetlands
- Signed perpetual conservation easement on 280 acres with the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- Provides continual monitoring of wildlife and water level management on the restored wetlands

The Lundstrom property before restoration began.

An enhanced wetland area after restoration.
Who Helped?
In 1991 and 1995, respectively, Greg Nydecker and Dean Vaughan of the USFWS assisted with wetland restoration and the conservation easement. Pheasants Forever, especially John Noel, supported the fencing project surrounding the wetlands. The Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks helped Mr. Lundstrom with an agreement to set aside 160 acres of grassland for a year until 1993, when he was accepted into the former Waterbank Program. Various agencies contributed about $10,000 total. Although the Waterbank Program is no longer accepting new contracts, it is maintaining current contracts such as Mr. Lundstrom’s. He receives $3,700 per year until the year 2002.

Permits Required
- Federal 404
- Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribal permit

Benefits and Rewards
- Restoration projects have enabled the owner to continue to maintain and live on the land
- $3,700 annual income is provided by setting aside the grassland
- Wetland restoration enhances wildlife habitat
- Owner now participates in multi-year ground nesting bird survey by University of Montana researchers

Advice
“When the information on how to proceed with wetland restoration is ready, it needs to be available to people. It would have helped me a lot to have had something like that in hand when I began searching.”

-Bill Lundstrom

Aerial view of Lundstrom’s property in the Flathead.

A restored wetland on the Lundstrom property.
Meet the Heppners

From Farming to Conserving the Sloughs

Lawrence and Mary Lou Heppner
Westby, MT 59275
(406) 385-2550

Mr. and Mrs. Heppner own a farm in Sheridan County in the prairie pothole region of northeast Montana. Their wetlands are sloughs that are wet in some years and not so wet in other years. Instead of trying to grow crops in the sloughs, they now maintain the acreage as wetlands under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). In addition, they restored 750 acres of wetlands with the help of CRP. They allow some grazing on the land in the program, but restrict livestock use around the streams by fencing off the vegetation buffer areas.

Actions
- Designated 100 acres of cropland into the USDA water bank program
- Assigned 750 acres into the Conservation Reserve Program
- Seeded 750 acres of former cropland back to grass to stabilize the soil
- Fenced stream areas to limit livestock damage

Who Helped?
In 1977, the Heppners signed up with the Soil Conservation Service (now the NRCS) for the Waterbank Program; they are now completing a second ten-year contract under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). In 1990, they worked with Charles Gephardt at the NRCS to seed 750 acres of former cropland as another part of the CRP. The cost-share for the grass seed and seeding was divided about evenly between the CRP and the Heppners. The CRP paid $10 per acre for grass seed and seeding on both plots. Fencing material to keep livestock off the river banks was at the Heppner’s expense and amounted to about $2000.

Permits Required
No permits were required.

Benefits and Rewards
- Landowners enjoy more visible wildlife such as birds, deer, and coyotes
- Land in CRP provides annual income to support farming lifestyle
- Land is available for growing hay or grazing livestock, with adjusted payments
- Landowners retain full use of land with no open hunting or fishing privileges

Advice
“It’s good to use the CRP program because the NRCS promotes the use of native seed. This way the land can get back to the way it first was. It’s hilly here and light gravelly land. I like to see it go back to the way it was long ago.”
-Lawrence Heppner

Who Helped?
In 1977, the Heppners signed up with the Soil Conservation Service (now the NRCS) for the Waterbank Program; they are now completing a second ten-year contract under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). In 1990, they worked with Charles Gephardt at the NRCS to seed 750 acres of former cropland as another part of the CRP. The cost-share for the grass seed and seeding was divided about evenly between the CRP and the Heppners. The CRP paid $10 per acre for grass seed and seeding on both plots. Fencing material to keep livestock off the river banks was at the Heppner’s expense and amounted to about $2000.

Permits Required
No permits were required.

Who Helped?
In 1977, the Heppners signed up with the Soil Conservation Service (now the NRCS) for the Waterbank Program; they are now completing a second ten-year contract under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). In 1990, they worked with Charles Gephardt at the NRCS to seed 750 acres of former cropland as another part of the CRP. The cost-share for the grass seed and seeding was divided about evenly between the CRP and the Heppners. The CRP paid $10 per acre for grass seed and seeding on both plots. Fencing material to keep livestock off the river banks was at the Heppner’s expense and amounted to about $2000.

Permits Required
No permits were required.

Who Helped?
In 1977, the Heppners signed up with the Soil Conservation Service (now the NRCS) for the Waterbank Program; they are now completing a second ten-year contract under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). In 1990, they worked with Charles Gephardt at the NRCS to seed 750 acres of former cropland as another part of the CRP. The cost-share for the grass seed and seeding was divided about evenly between the CRP and the Heppners. The CRP paid $10 per acre for grass seed and seeding on both plots. Fencing material to keep livestock off the river banks was at the Heppner’s expense and amounted to about $2000.

Permits Required
No permits were required.
Meet the Welbourns

Managing The Wetland By Revegetating The Stream

Stan and Betty Welbourn  
Retired Farmers  
P.O. Box 2106  
Hamilton, MT 59840  
(406) 961-4522

Stan and Betty Welbourn retired from their large farm operation in 1988 and now irrigate alfalfa and hay on their 40-acre property. Mill Creek crosses their land and had eroded its bank, which increased sedimentation and cut into the associated wetland. The wetland is critical for wildlife, which use the vegetation and stream corridors for protective cover and transport. The Welbourns consulted the NRCS in Hamilton and found that their stream and wetland problem could be assisted by the Wetlands Reserve Program. According to Lane Johnson at the Hamilton NRCS field office, they restored the stream because its lateral movement was drying up the associated five feet of valuable wetland on either side.

Actions
- Moved stream bank with assistance into the stream 10-20 feet to create new stream banks
- Stabilized banks with tree root wads, logs, boulders, and sod
- Connected channel widths and stabilized stream gradient with rock and log structures along the banks and at the downstream end of the meanders
- Revegetated the stream bank with shrubs

Who Helped?
The project was done under the Wetlands Reserve Program (WRP) with a cost-share agreement of 75% WRP and 25% landowner. The average cost came to about $23 per foot of treated stream bank. Gary Decker of Westwater Consultants, Inc., Pat Vaughan of the Hamilton office of the US Department of Agriculture, and Dean Vaughan of US Fish and Wildlife Service assisted the Welbourns in the project.

Permits Required
- Federal 404
- State 310

Benefits and Rewards
- Stabilized and revegetated stream bank has improved wildlife habitat
- Increased stream flow improved fish habitat
- Raised streambed restored wetland acres and reduced sedimentation in the stream
- Stabilized bank protects against future erosion
- The stream and wetland are aesthetically more pleasing

Advice
“Have a tentative plan to present so that the NRCS personnel have, in hand, a design that they can review for approval. It is important to work closely with the NRCS in the initial stages. Most likely they will be familiar with the low and high water stages of the project.” –Stan Welbourn
Meet the Schweitzers

Landscaping To Enhance Wetland And Upland Habitats

Larry and Kathy Schweitzer
Landscapers
RR3 Box 3134
Lewistown, Montana 59457
(406) 538-6668

The Schweitzers produce hay and alfalfa on a 400-acre site that runs along Warm Springs Creek in Fergus County. Mr. Schweitzer envisioned this land as a very special place for wildlife and has grown food plots, built waterfowl nesting structures, and planted trees for cover. He has enhanced wetlands all along the floodplain of the creek and has done “tremendous wetland and riparian management,” according to Ted Hawn of the Lewistown NRCS. Mr. Schweitzer feels pleased with the work he has accomplished in wildlife habitat enhancement. He also is pleased that some parts of the land have made a comeback just by being left alone. While that may be one method of land restoration, he also can’t resist the urge to dig a pond or two, or to plant a tree, or a few thousand of them. So far, Mr. Schweitzer has planted 4000 trees in one shelterbelt, another 15,000 in a pasture and 28,000 on a 240-acre property.

Actions
- Developed and improved deer, pheasant and waterfowl habitat
- Planted food plots of corn, barley, oats and wheat in the wetland and uplands
- Installed goose nesting platforms along the creek
- Planted upland shelterbelts for cover and food
- Dug two ponds to enhance waterfowl use

Who Helped?
- Pheasants Forever helped plant trees while Mr. Schweitzer planned the design of food plantings and shelterbelts. Bob Watts and the Upland Game Bird Habitat Improvement Program of the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks helped plant shelterbelts and tall wheatgrass. Partnerships consisted of Schweitzer doing the work while trees were obtained through the state program, available through the Conservation District. Ted Hawn of NRCS worked with Mr. Schweitzer on the pond projects. The US Fish and Wildlife Service, assisted by Mike Gettmann, has also been involved in pond building.

Permits Required
- Federal 404 State 310

Benefits and Rewards
- Replenished the habitat from which he has hunted
- Increased numbers and diversity of wildlife, waterfowl, and upland birds
- Improved wildlife watching (seeing more deer, pheasants, fox and marsh hawks than before)
- Feeling that one person can make a positive difference in the landscape

Advice
“I keep learning about planting shelterbelts by trying new things. Know what to plant, native if possible, and then how to space the plants. To lessen wind action, plant shelterbelts on a contour. . . Sometimes I wonder if I’m getting anywhere, but when I see a hen and brood of chicks run across the way, I know I’m on the right track.”

-Larry Schweitzer
Meet the McCoys

Improving Wetlands For Waterfowl And Calving

Poncho and Beverly McCoy
Cattle Ranchers
McCoy Cattle Company
5600 Highway 91 North
Dillon, MT 59725
(406) 683-2980

Poncho and Beverly McCoy, owners and workers on their cattle company in Beaverhead County, became concerned about the diminishing duck population in the late 1980s and decided to do something about it. They improved waterfowl habitat on their existing wetlands by developing six small ponds along a series of spring creeks. After nine years of hard work, monitoring, and trial and error, they are finally seeing an increase in waterfowl numbers. The McCoys feel a deep satisfaction in watching the geese return each year. They also model their wetlands for educational purposes to 4H Clubs and school children. In addition, they have found that their wetland restoration also provides a good place for their cows to calve.

"As a hunter and fisherman, I want to put back what I have enjoyed. It’s very satisfying to have wetlands on the property; they make the whole landscape better."

Actions
- Built eight elevated culvert nesting structures
- Blocked streams to increase water surface
- Excavated gravel to flood more shallow areas for feed
- Installed culverts to raise the water level
- Reseeded wetlands with hay and orchard grass
- Maintained culverts, monitored sites, and adjusted strategy to enhance nesting
- Maintained warm spring creeks for spring nesting and fall migration

Who Helped?
Jeff Herbert of the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, through the Montana Waterfowl Stamp Program, provided the culverts, helped with the cost of excavation, and then returned to monitor the wildlife use. The Natural Resources Conservation Service, particularly Rich Nordquist, helped design the projects and provided technical assistance.

Permits Required
Federal 404 State 310

Benefits and Rewards
- Satisfaction in seeing wetlands habitat activity on the property
- Increased waterfowl use by both returning waterfowl and new breeding pairs
- Provides educational example for school children
- Reseeded wetland provides calving ground

Advice
"Keep changing what you’re doing if it’s not working and learn as you go. Nesting structures have to provide a sense of safety for the waterfowl. In making land changes, sometimes the biggest challenge is what to do with the dirt you dig from a pond. We used some of the dirt and gravel to narrow and deepen the creek so fish could use it for spawning. Other dirt was used to fill and smooth nearby bumpy ground. The area was then seeded in tall wheatgrass, which now provides calving grounds for the cows.” - Poncho McCoy
Meet the Footes

Ranching And Total
Land Stewardship

Geof and Kathie Foote, Ranchers
Meadow Springs Ranch
Ovando, MT 59854
(406) 793-5720

Twenty-three years ago, Geof and Kathie Foote bought land with low rolling hills, glacial potholes, mixed pine, fir and spruce forests, grasslands and wetlands, all cut by a major spring-fed tributary to the Blackfoot River. However, agricultural use since 1890 had produced overgrazed grasslands, depleted woodlots and degraded streams. Geof and Kathie envisioned restoring the land to its natural communities and molded their lifestyle to fit these biological systems. The restoration was approached as an interconnected system of forest, grassland, wetland and stream. All aquatic and terrestrial life, including human residents, would be affected by any changes in this environment. With careful planning, the Footes’ have achieved this renovation and secured it with a perpetual conservation easement on the land.

“We think about sustainability in land use and want to demonstrate this philosophy by our stewardship of the land and our lifestyle. Since the restoration of the forests, grassland, wetland and stream habitats, we have observed an increase in the diversity of predator-prey populations. This indicates to us a return to health of the natural systems. After four years of attempted nesting, a pair of bald eagles are raising young.” - Geof and Kathie Foote

Actions
- Constructed fences to control grazing of grasslands and forest
- Harvested damaged trees by low-impact horse-logging in forest while selecting superior tree stock for future generations
- Built small dam to impound some of Dick Creek to provide constant water source for nesting marsh, hay irrigation and potential power generation
- Constructed passage for fish around the dam
- Fenced Dick Creek from domestic grazing and returned it to its natural stream channel
- Expanded spawning area of stream for fish reproduction
- Developed wetland with water level controls for breeding waterfowl
- Developed marsh filter system to filter domestic stock runoff
- Planted woody shrubs and grasses along stream bank for stabilization and shade to reduce water temperatures for fish habitat
- Placed woody debris along creek to provide cover for fish and aquatic life
- Built houses and platforms for nesting ducks, geese, upland birds and cavity-nesting mammals

Who Helped?
The US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), especially Gary Sullivan, Greg Nydecker and Jim Stutzman, provided planning and technical assistance through its Partners for Fish and Wildlife program. Ducks Unlimited reimbursed the USFWS $10,000 of the $18,000 cost of the wetland restoration project. The Footes contributed their own equipment, labor, logs, sawmill, and funds. Restoring Dick Creek involved many groups to plan the extensive fish habitat/waterfowl breeding marsh and filter system. These groups included Partners for Fish and Wildlife, USFWS, MDFWP, National Fish and Wildlife Fund, the Blackfoot Chapter of Trout Unlimited, plus the NRCS.
Benefits and Rewards

- Increased hay production from 175 to 700 bales due to renewed water control and irrigation, which also greens up the fall pasture
- Increased numbers and diversity of natural communities of waterfowl, bald eagle, black tern, great gray owl, mountain lion, red fox, goshawk and merlin, elk and deer
- Increased spawning areas for fish
- Faster-growing woodlot due to selective removal of over-stocked trees, which were used for the dam structure
- Cleaner creek due to filtered water system that treats organic runoff from domestic stock
- Potential electricity source provided by dam

Advice

“If you restore a wetland with waterfowl breeding in mind, stabilization of the water levels during the nesting season is essential. Adding vegetative cover allows visual seclusion of nesting birds while islands provide predator protection.” - Geof Foote

Opportunity or Challenge?

In spite of all the positive examples on these pages, wetlands remain problematic for some Montana landowners. Why? One example may serve to illustrate. When John Q. Landowner applied for one type of federal farm program assistance, he gave permission to NRCS staff to come and examine his property. This led to a delineation of the wetlands on his land. Once the wetland areas were delineated, for John to qualify for the federal assistance, he had to agree to limitations on how his land would be used. John was not happy with this outcome and his wetlands, thereby, took on a negative light. This situation contrasts considerably with those shown on these pages, where landowners found enterprising ways to turn the “problem” of wetlands into an opportunity!
Wetland Restoration
In Montana

Ever wonder how much wetland has been restored, enhanced, protected or created in Montana? While these figures are approximate, they do show gains that have been made by Montanans working together for wetlands conservation.

Statistics show that the average annual net loss of wetlands is 117,000 acres nationwide. Due to increased awareness and restoration programs, this rate of loss has declined by 60 percent from the previous decade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Challenge Cost Share</td>
<td>Since 1988</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation easements associated with WRP</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>About 23,000</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducks Unlimited</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>12,709</td>
<td>$8,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Migratory Game Bird Stamp Program</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Land Reliance-Conservation Easements</td>
<td>Since 1978</td>
<td>4,904</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners for Wildlife</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Nature Conservancy-Easements</td>
<td>Since 1978</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USFWS-Prairie Pothole Restoration</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOR-Wetland Development Program</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRP and CRP</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As the previous case studies show, many landowners recognize the benefits of wetlands on their land and want to restore or conserve them. Some landowners undertake restoration and protection projects at their own expense, other landowners participate in voluntary programs administered by public agencies and private organizations. In this section, you will find information about these agencies, organizations, and programs. They can help answer questions you might have, such as: How can I manage my wetlands for conservation? What are the advantages and disadvantages? What do I need to consider before starting a water retention or wildlife habitat project? How can I pay for it?

What’s Right For Me?

If you are considering wetlands restoration or enhancement on your land, how do you decide what actions to take? You can determine several possible options by asking yourself four questions:

• Do you want to continue to own your wetland?
• Do you want to be the sole manager of the property?
• Do you want to be paid for the property?
• Do you want to regulate future use of the wetland after the property title is transferred?

Use the decision tree on page 26 as a starting point to explore your options, then refer to the charts that follow for more details.

Some Landowner Options

If you have a wetland on your property, you can choose from a wide range of options to restore and/or conserve the wetland. They range from retaining ownership to donating the land, and are described next.

Retain ownership and guide future use of the property. You can choose one or a combination of options:

1. Conservation Easements are a perpetual legal agreement between a private property owner and a qualified conservation organization, such as a land trust, to voluntarily place restrictions on the type and amount of development that may take place on a piece of property and to protect significant natural features including wildlife or wildlife habitat. Conservation easements allow the property owner to retain ownership of the wetland while potentially receiving income and estate tax reductions (see chart).

2. Leases are agreements for the rental of land by a landowner to a conservation organization or agency for a specified period of time. The landowner receives periodic payments for the leased property while the use and protection of the land are in the hands of the agency.

3. Restoration involves the active rehabilitation of a degraded wetland to recover its natural attributes, functions and values. You receive technical and financial assistance for restoration projects, and may gain economically from recreational and other benefits.

4. Management Agreements are worked out between a landowner and a conservation agency. Either the landowner or conservation agency agrees to maintain the property in a manner consistent with the goals of the conservation agency and the landowner.

5. Limited Development Strategies involve the sensitive development of the least environmentally significant portions of property to finance conservation of the remaining property and meet landowner’s economic needs and goals.

6. Remainder interests transfer full or partial interest in a property to an appropriate grantee, such as a nonprofit conservation organization, after the death of a landowner. It may also affect any subsequent title holders whom the landowner names.
Sell the property.
You can sell land to a conservation organization at full market value, as a bargain sale (a price below full market value), an installment sale, or a right of first refusal.

Donate the land.
If you donate land (transfer of title without compensation) to a conservation organization (land trust), you ensure total protection for the wetland and that it will be maintained and enhanced.

Where To Find Help
Do you have a wetland on your property? Do you want to restore a wetland? Are you thinking of creating a wetland? If so, help is close at hand. Experts at federal, state, tribal and local agencies, as well as private organizations, can provide the information you need—and they are only a phone call away. Consult the two charts that follow, “A Quick Reference Guide to Wetlands Programs,” and “A Detailed Guide to Wetlands Assistance.”

Montana Organizations That Handle Conservation Easements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Contact Person</th>
<th>Telephone/E-mail/Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Five Valleys Land Trust</td>
<td>Wendy Ninteman</td>
<td>(406) 549-0755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 8953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missoula, MT 59807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flathead Land Trust</td>
<td>Susan How</td>
<td>(406) 752-8293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 1913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kalispell, MT 59903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallatin Valley Land Trust</td>
<td>Debbie Deagen</td>
<td>(406) 587-8404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 7021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bozeman, MT 59771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Wetlands Trust</td>
<td>Charles van Hook</td>
<td>(406) 442-3199/431-2098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(statewide)</td>
<td></td>
<td>517 Waukesha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Helena, MT 59601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Land Reliance</td>
<td>Bill Long</td>
<td>(406) 443-7027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(statewide)</td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Helena, MT 59624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prickly Pear Land Trust</td>
<td>Jerry DeBacker</td>
<td>(406) 443-5705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:debacker@mt.net">debacker@mt.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>302 N. Last Chance Gulch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Helena, MT 59601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Save Open Space</td>
<td>Janet Sproull</td>
<td>(406) 549-6083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missoula, MT 59802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Nature Conservancy</td>
<td>Brian Martin</td>
<td>(406) 443-0303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(statewide)</td>
<td>Bernie Hall</td>
<td>32 South Ewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Helena, MT 59601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Landowner Decision Tree

Do you want to continue to own your wetland?

YES

Do you want to manage the property exclusively?

YES

Options:
- Management agreement
- Technical assistance
- Limited development strategies

NO

Do you want to manage the property exclusively?

NO

Do you want compensation for selling the property?

YES

Options:
- Full market value
- Bargain sale
- Installment sale
- Right-of-first-refusal

NO

Do you want compensation for selling the property?

NO

Do you want the property to be permanently protected?

YES

Options:
- Conservation easement
- Remainder interest
- Limited development options

NO

Do you want the property to be permanently protected?

NO

Do you want to restrict future use of the property when the title is transferred?*

YES

Options:
- Conservation easement
- Limited development options

NO

Options: Normal transfer

* If landowners wish to guide future use of the property through transfer, they should consider donating a conservation easement for the property to another organization before transferring the property in fee through a normal transfer (i.e., sale or donation). If landowners do not wish to restrict future use of the property, they can transfer the property through a normal transfer.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Eligible Lands</th>
<th>Assistance</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Challenge Cost-Share Program</td>
<td>Wetlands on public lands</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>USDA, BLM</td>
<td>Bob Haburchak</td>
<td>(406) 253-2798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation Reserve Program CRP</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>USDA, FSA</td>
<td>Dave Heilig</td>
<td>(406) 587-6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt For Nature (Conservation Contract Program)</td>
<td>Highly erodible lands, wetlands, and special kinds of habitat that may support particular wildlife</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>Dave Heilig</td>
<td>(406) 587-6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Watershed Program</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Financial, technical</td>
<td>USDA, NRCS</td>
<td>D. James Suit</td>
<td>(406) 587-6822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQIP</td>
<td>Wetlands-farm and rangelands</td>
<td>Technical, financial, educational</td>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>Dennis Loreth</td>
<td>(406) 587-6795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland Protection Program</td>
<td>Wetlands-farmland</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>USDA, NRCS</td>
<td>Dave Heilig</td>
<td>(406) 587-6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSP/SIP</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Technical, financial</td>
<td>USDA, NRCS</td>
<td>Robert Logar</td>
<td>(406) 587-6836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermountain Riparian/Wetland Resource Technical Team</td>
<td>Wetlands, forest lands</td>
<td>Financial, technical</td>
<td>USDA, NRCS</td>
<td>Sandra Wyman, Marcus Miller, Chris Noble, Terry Costner</td>
<td>(406) 587-6924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARSH</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>Ducks Unlimited</td>
<td>Steve Bayless</td>
<td>(406) 458-5794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Migratory Game Bird Stamp Program</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>MDFWP</td>
<td>Jeff Herbert</td>
<td>(406) 444-2612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAWMP/NAWCA</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>Jim Statzman</td>
<td>(406) 727-7400 ext. 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners for Wildlife (PFW)</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Financial, technical</td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>Jim Statzman</td>
<td>(406) 727-7400 ext. 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swambbuster/Wetland Conservation Provisions</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>Dave Heilig</td>
<td>(406) 587-6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland Protection Development Grants Program</td>
<td>Wetland protection programs</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>EPA/DEQ</td>
<td>Steve Potts</td>
<td>(406) 444-1140, ext. 232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland Development Program</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>BOR</td>
<td>Rick Blaskovich</td>
<td>(406) 247-7311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHIP</td>
<td>Owned lands that are not in mitigation or wetfield program</td>
<td>Financial, technical</td>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>Dave Heilig</td>
<td>(406) 587-6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRP</td>
<td>Restorable wetlands</td>
<td>Financial, technical</td>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>Dave Heilig</td>
<td>(406) 587-6789</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acronyms are explained in a chart on the inside back cover.
## A Detailed Guide To Wetlands Assistance*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Financial Assistance</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Challenge Cost-Share Program</strong></td>
<td>Priorities include riparian/wetland projects.</td>
<td>Annually provides over $400,000 to match with non-federal goods, in-kind services, and funds to accomplish work with fish, wildlife, and threatened and endangered species and riparian programs. Non-federal partners include MFWP, Ducks Unlimited, universities, and Trout Unlimited.</td>
<td>Bob Haburchak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has Appropriated Funds for Riparian/Wetland Work on Publicly Owned Land in Montana.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(406) 255-2798 Billings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>The Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC)</strong> makes annual rental payments based on agriculture rental value of the land and provides cost-share assistance in the amount equal to not more than 50% of the participant’s cost in establishing approved practices. The duration of the costs are from 10-15 years. CCC will pay up to 50% of the cost of establishing permanent cover.</td>
<td>Dave Heilig (406) 587-6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP offers annual rental payments and cost-share assistance to establish long-term resource-conserving vegetation on eligible land. Note: The Waterbank Program, which is referred to in Chapter Two’s case studies, is no longer accepting new contracts; landowners now enroll in CRP.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:dheilig@mt.nrcs.usda.gov">dheilig@mt.nrcs.usda.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debt For Nature Program (Conservation Contract Program)</strong></td>
<td>USDA borrowers who have highly erodible lands, wetlands and special kinds of habitat that may support particular wildlife.</td>
<td>Loan adjustment made according to a formula in exchange for 50-year or 10-year contracts.</td>
<td>Dave Heilig (406) 587-6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP offers a conservation contract in exchange for a borrower’s indebtedness to the Farm Service Agency. These contracts can be established for conservation, recreational and wildlife purposes on farm property that is wetland, wildlife habitat, upland or highly erodible land.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:dheilig@mt.nrcs.usda.gov">dheilig@mt.nrcs.usda.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roger Meredith (406) 587-6786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mel Yost (406) 587-6799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eligibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA Farm Service Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial Assistance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acronyms are explained in a chart on the inside back cover*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Financial Assistance</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Watershed Program/ Floodplain Easement Program</strong> works through local government sponsors and helps participants solve natural resource and related economic problems on a watershed basis. Projects include watershed protection, flood prevention, erosion and sediment control, water supply, water quality, fish and wildlife habitat enhancement, wetlands creation and restoration, and public recreation in watersheds up to 250,000 acres in size. Technical and financial assistance is available for installation of improvement projects to protect, develop, and use the land and water resources in small watersheds.</td>
<td>Local or state agency, county, municipality, town or township, soil and water conservation district, flood prevention or flood control district, tribe or tribal organization, or nonprofit agency with authority to carry out, maintain, and operate watershed improvement works.</td>
<td>Technical assistance and counseling, plus cost-share assistance. Funds cover: • 100% of flood prevention construction costs • 50% of construction costs related to agricultural water management, recreation and fish and wildlife • none of the costs for other municipal and industrial water management.</td>
<td>D. James Suit (406) 587-6822 <a href="mailto:jsuits@mt.nrcs.usda.gov">jsuits@mt.nrcs.usda.gov</a> Bozeman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) provides a single, voluntary conservation program for farmers and ranchers to address significant natural resources concerns. | Limited to persons who are engaged in livestock or agricultural production. Eligible lands include cropland, rangeland, forest land, pasture and other farm and ranch lands on which crops and livestock are produced. | Cost sharing up to 75% of actual costs of certain conservation practices. Incentive payments up to 3 years paid at a flat rate. Maximum of $10,000 per person for any fiscal year and $50,000 for any multi-year contract. | Dennis Loreth (406) 587-6795 dlloreth@mt.nrcs.usda.gov Bozeman |

| **Farmland Protection Program (FPP)** helps farmers keep their land in agriculture. The program provides funding to state, tribal or local entities with existing farmland protection programs to purchase conservation easements. The program goal is to protect between 170,000 and 340,000 acres of farmland. Participating landowners can choose to keep their land in agriculture. Landowners retain all rights to the property. Qualifying land must be • prime, unique or other productive soil • part of a pending offer from a state, local or tribal farmland protection program • privately owned • large enough to sustain agricultural production • accessible to markets for what the land produces and have adequate infrastructure and agricultural support services • have surrounding parcels of land that can support long-term agricultural production. All lands enrolled must have a conservation plan developed according to the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide. | USDA joins with state, local, or tribal governments to acquire conservation easements or other interests from landowners. | Dennis Froening (406) 587-6794 dfroening@mt.nrcs.usda.gov Bozeman | Dave Heilig (406) 587-6789 dheilig@mt.nrcs.usda.gov Bozeman |

*Acronyms are explained in a chart on the inside back cover*
## A Detailed Guide To Wetlands Assistance*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Summary</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Financial Assistance</th>
<th>Contact Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Forest Stewardship Program (FSP) and Stewardship Incentive Program (SIP)**    | Landowners must not derive most of their income from timber management on the property, must own 10-1,000 acres of forest land, and activities must be maintained for at least 10 years. Public access need not be provided for eligibility. | SIP provides 75% cost share for plan development and for implementation of planned practices.                                                             | Robert Logar  
(406) 587-6836  
bob@mtso9.mtnrcs.usda.gov  
Bozeman                                                 |
| **Intermountain Riparian/ Wetland Resource Technical Team**                    | Works with NRCS field offices and government agencies.                                                                                                                                                     | Not applicable                                                                                                                                          | Chris Noble, Marcus Miller, Terry Costner, Sandra Wyman  
(406) 587-6924  
swyman@mt.nrcs.usda.gov  
Bozeman                                                                                             |
| **MARSH (Matching Aid to Restore States Habitat Program)**                     | Proposals from any public agency or private conservation group that can:  
• execute long-term habitat agreements  
• deliver and manage the projects proposed  
• assume all liability associated with the project                                                                                          | Cost-shared assistance up to 50%. Costs exceeding this amount require special approval.                                                                | Steve Bayless  
(406) 438-5794  
Helena                                                                                              |
| **Montana Migratory Game Bird Stamp Program**                                 | Areas with good adjacent upland nesting cover such as native rangeland or CRP enrolled acres. Eligible practices include restoring drained wetlands, constructing new reservoirs, repairing breached dams or damaged spillways, installing water control structures, establishing suitable upland nesting cover, and working with landowners to implement managed grazing systems. Program generally requires reasonable public access controlled by the landowner. | Up to 100 % of construction costs; generally focuses on sites where work is to be completed at a cost of $1000-$2000 per wetland surface acre. | Jeff Herbert  
(406) 444-2612  
Helena                                                                                              |
| **North American Waterfowl Management Plan (NAWMP) and North American Wetland Conservation Act (NAWCA)** | Projects that fall within established joint ventures, such as the Prairie Pothole Joint Venture and the Intermountain West Joint Venture, receive highest priority.  
All who are interested in wetlands, waterfowl, other wetland wildlife, soil and water conservation and sustainable resources are encouraged to join these partnerships. | NAWCA is a principal fund source for the NAWMP. The Act created the North American Wetlands Conservation Fund designed to help support projects on public and private lands. A 9-member council reviews annual project proposals submitted by partners for funding. | Jim Stutzman  
(406) 727-7400, ext 24  
Great Falls |

*Acronyms are explained in a chart on the inside back cover
### Program Summary

**Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program (PFW)** provides funding and technical assistance to private landowners interested in fish and wildlife habitat projects on their land. PFW evaluates projects from a biological and cost/benefit standpoint. In addition to wetland habitat work, PFW also does instream and riparian restoration, fish and wildlife-friendly irrigation systems, and a myriad of other projects.

**Swampbuster/Wetland Conservation** provisions help preserve the environmental functions and values of wetlands, including flood control, sediment control, groundwater recharge, water quality, wildlife habitat, recreation, and esthetics. The 1996 Farm Bill changed Swampbuster to give producers greater flexibility to comply with wetland conservation requirements and to make wetlands more valuable and functional.

**Wetland Development Program** provides grants or cooperative agreements to public or private organizations for improvement of wildlife associated with water systems or supplies affected by BOR projects.

**Wetland Protection Development Grants Program** makes grants available to states and tribes for development and/or enhancement of wetlands protection programs; for training leading to development of state wetlands protection programs; and for wetland protection demonstration and restoration projects.

### Eligibility

- **Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program (PFW)**: Landowner must sign a Wildlife Extension Agreement (WEA), which does not require landowners to provide hunter access. Montana focus areas include the Blackfoot Valley, Rocky Mountain Front, Beaver Creek, Mission Valley and Centennial Valley.

- **Swampbuster/Wetland Conservation**: Participants in USDA programs, such as farmers, ranchers and any other program beneficiaries.

- **Wetland Development Program**: Projects of conservation districts and private conservation groups that address wildlife habitat needs within a given area. Existing programs in a basin where BOR water supplies are being affected.

- **Wetland Protection Development Grants Program**: Eligible entities include state wetlands agencies, state water quality agencies, state agencies with wetland-related programs, federally recognized tribes; and local governments. Partnerships with others are eligible.

### Financial Assistance

- **Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program (PFW)**: Program is flexible and considers the landowner's economic goals. $500,000 to $750,000 available in Montana annually. Matching funds and fund raising partnerships are critical.

- **Swampbuster/Wetland Conservation**: Not Available

- **Wetland Development Program**: The limited private lands authority under this program provides challenge cost-share opportunities.

- **Wetland Protection Development Grants Program**: Grants awarded on a competitive basis within an EPA region. Recipients must cost-share a minimum of 25% of each award’s total project costs. Proposals are submitted annually in late fall. Grants for wetland conservation or protection program development; no funding for operations.

### Contact Person

- **Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program (PFW)**: Jim Stutzman (406) 727-7400, ext 24 Great Falls

- **Swampbuster/Wetland Conservation**: Dave Heilig (406) 587-6789 dheilig@mt.nrcs.usda.gov Bozeman

- **Wetland Development Program**: Rick Blaskovich (406) 247-7311 Billings

- **Wetland Protection Development Grants Program**: Steve Potts (406) 441-1140, ext 232 Helena, MT

*Acronyms are explained in a chart on the inside back cover*
### Program Summary

**Wetlands Reserve Program (WRP)** provides landowners with financial incentives to restore, create and enhance wetlands. Landowners may establish a conservation easement or enter into a cost-share restoration agreement. Landowners voluntarily limit future use of the land, yet retain private ownership. Landowners and the NRCS develop a plan for the restoration and maintenance of the wetland.

**Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program (WHIP)** is for people who want to use both technical assistance and cost-sharing to help establish and improve fish and wildlife habitat. Participants work with NRCS to prepare a wildlife habitat development plan in consultation with the local Conservation District and partners. The plan describes the landowner’s goals for improving wildlife habitat, includes a list of practices and a schedule for installing them, and details the steps necessary to maintain habitat for the life of the agreement.

### Eligibility

**Easement participant** must have owned the land for at least one year. Owner can be an individual, partnership, association, corporation, estate, trust, business or other legal entity, a state (when applicable), political subdivision of a state, or agency of a state.

**Landowners** voluntarily limit future use of the land, yet retain private ownership. Landowners and the NRCS develop a plan for the restoration and maintenance of the wetland.

**Individuals** must own or have control of the land under consideration, and cannot have the land already enrolled in mitigation or programs that have a wildlife focus, such as the WRP.

### Financial Assistance

**WRP** provides three options to the landowner:
- **Permanent Easement**: USDA purchases easement (price is lesser of the land value or payment cap); USDA pays 100% of restoration costs
- **30 year Easement**: Pays 75% of what would be paid for a permanent easement and 75% of restoration costs
- **Restoration Cost-share Agreement**: Minimum ten-year agreement to restore degraded wetland habitat. USDA pays 75% of restoration costs

**USDA** pays up to 75% of the cost of installing wildlife enhancement practices.

### Contact Person

**Dave Heilig**  
(406) 587-6789  
dheilig@mt.nrcs.usda.gov  
Bozeman

**Dennis Loreth**  
(406) 587-6795  
dloret@mt.nrcs.usda.gov  
Bozeman

*Acronyms are explained in a chart on the inside back cover*
During the past 25 years, our scientific understanding of the value and functions of wetlands has increased, and so has our society’s commitment to protecting wetlands. Our laws express that commitment, and government regulations implement the laws. This section describes wetland protection laws and provides charts to help you navigate the mazes of permits and regulatory requirements.

What Is A Wetland?

Wetlands protection agencies have adopted a consistent definition developed by the Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), as found in The Wetlands Delineation Manual of 1987:

Wetlands are those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

This definition is based on hydrology, hydric soils and hydrophytic vegetation. (See also page 4, Section 2.) Only areas that meet all three criteria are regulated wetlands that are subject to federal regulation.

What about artificial wetlands?

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) defines an artificial wetland as land that was not a wetland under natural conditions, but now exhibits wetland characteristics due to human activities. Human-induced wetlands, like those under irrigation, may meet the requirements of wetlands by water, soils, and vegetation and still not be subject to ACOE regulatory authority.

It is also possible that the artificial wetland may not be subject to regulation in the NRCS Swampbuster Program but can be regulated by the ACOE under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The ACOE decides, on a case by case basis, if a human-induced wetland is subject to protection.
### Key Federal Laws Affecting Wetlands

**Clean Water Act (CWA) of 1972**
- Regulates pollution and is administered wholly by the EPA and state agencies, except for Section 404, jointly administered by the ACOE and EPA.

| Section 401 requires that states review and certify federal permits that may result in pollution discharges into surface waters and wetlands | Section 402 requires a permit for any discharge of pollutants from a point source into navigable waters | Section 404 administered by the Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), governs dredging and filling in jurisdictional waters |

**National Environmental Policy Act**
- NEPA requires that all federal government agencies prepare environmental impact statements on relevant major federal actions

**Executive Order 11990**
- Requires federal agencies to take action to minimize the destruction, loss or degradation of wetlands and to preserve the natural values of wetlands on federal lands (Notice that this is not a law, so its binding affects differ.)

**Rivers and Harbors Act**
- Gives authority to the ACOE to prohibit discharge of solids or construction into tidal and navigable or adjacent waters

**Food Securities Act of 1985**
- “Swampbuster,” denies federal subsidies for conversion of wetlands to agricultural uses

**Endangered Species Act**
- Administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, protects wetlands that offer unique habitat for endangered and threatened species

### Montana State Laws Affecting Wetlands

Montana has little legislation dealing directly with wetlands although the Montana Environmental Policy Act and two Montana Administrative Rules do regulate activities that may affect wetlands. (See Regulations Maze Chart which follows)

### Tribal Laws Affecting Wetlands

Tribal governments in Montana safeguard the health, welfare, and economic security of their people. They protect aquatic resources including wetlands that are critical for water quality, fisheries and wildlife. The Confederated Salish and Kootenai and Blackfeet Tribes currently have regulations and ordinances in place. The tribes on the other five reservations in Montana are also developing wetland programs and strategies. If you own land adjacent to or within reservation boundaries, you need to consult with the appropriate tribal government office about wetlands on your property.
Wetlands on the Flathead Reservation

The Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribe has specific tribal codes for wetland management. One ordinance addresses the banks below the high water mark of the south half of Flathead Lake and another ordinance protects wetlands and riparian areas throughout the remainder of the reservation. The Aquatic Lands Conservation Ordinance (ALCO) prevents degradation of Reservation waters and aquatic lands by regulating construction whenever such a project will cause erosion, sedimentation, or other disturbances adversely affecting water quality. Permits are obtained and processed through the Shoreline Protection Program Office of the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribe. Failure to comply with the permit terms may result in law enforcement.

Presently, the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribe is developing a comprehensive management plan that includes a no net loss policy and wetlands guidelines. The plan has been evolving for the past 10 years, and includes wetlands mitigation for the 1.25 million acre Flathead Reservation.

The Blackfeet Nation’s Wetlands Plan

Mary Clare Weatherwax, Wetlands Program Coordinator for the Blackfeet Nation, explains the tribe’s wetland authority:

In 1993, the Blackfeet Tribe passed Ordinance 90, the Aquatic Lands Protection Ordinance. The tribe issues permits for all construction and fill projects that occur in wetlands, riparian areas and streams. The tribe has authority to fine anyone who works in wetlands or waters of the reservation without a permit, to revoke a permit for non-compliance of permit conditions, and to require restoration or replacement of damaged wetlands, riparian areas and streams.

The main way the Blackfeet Tribe currently protects wetlands is through the use of Ordinance 90, the Aquatic Lands Protection Ordinance. This tribal ordinance requires that a permit be issued through the Tribal Water Resources Department in order for work to begin in a wetlands area. The administrator for Ordinance 90 is the Blackfeet Water Resources Department Director, Mike Tatsey. He works collaboratively with the Blackfeet Environmental Office Director, Gerald Wagner, to issue permits and violation notices. The Water Resources Department hosts regular meetings with the other natural resources staff to make comments on all proposed actions/permits. Additionally, the tribe coordinates their efforts with the Army Corps of Engineers (Section 404 permitting), and with the Environmental Protection Agency (Section 401 Water Quality Certification). The tribe has also created a draft Wetlands Management Plan in order to protect wetlands on the reservation.

The Blackfeet Tribe Wetlands Protection Program is funded through the EPA and the goal is development of a wetlands protection program on the Blackfeet Indian Reservation. In order to meet that goal, the tribe has a wetlands monitoring program that has collected baseline data on a subset of wetlands in all four watersheds within the reservation boundary. A draft wetlands management plan has been developed, specifying how wetlands will be protected on the reservation. In addition, the Wetlands Protection Program is involved in several wetlands creation projects on the Blackfeet Indian Reservation, and works with Aquatic Lands Protection ordinance permits that are issued on the reservation by the Water Resources Department.
**Typical Question**

- "I want to build an access road across the wetland to get to my new house. Do I need a permit?"
- "I want to clear away some shrubs and brush on my wetland. Can I use a bulldozer and grader?"
- "I want to build a pond. Do I need a permit?"
- "I want to build a gravel bar to direct the flow of stream water into the irrigation ditch. Do I need a permit?"
- "Do I have a wetland? I need to know for a building project."

**Answer**

- Heavy equipment requires a permit. Hand-held equipment, such as chainsaws and shovels, may be used without a permit.
- Yes. You need a permit to use heavy equipment in a wetland or drainage area to excavate, or to build an impoundment or berm.
- Find out from a consultant or NRCS, who will locate your land on a soil map. (You must have legal description of property in writing.) If your land contains hydric soil, NRCS will refer you to a list of wetlands consultants for a wetland delineation. If dredge or fill is needed, ACOE must be consulted.
- Yes
- Possibly 404. If minor disruption (<3 acres), you may be able to proceed under a nationwide permit. You need a letter from ACOE confirming this.

**Permit #**

- 404
- 310

**Agency**

- ACOE, or DEQ may be contacted regarding water quality issues
- Submit application for 310 permit to local Conservation District (CD). Depending on the nature of the work and the location, the DEQ and DNRC may also need to be contacted.
- USDA, NRCS, ACOE
- Local NRCS (Also provides a wetlands technical guide of regional wetland plants.)

**Contact**

- Allan Steinle or staff 406-441-1375
- Local County Conservation District (CD)
- Allan Steinle or staff 406-441-1375

**Waiting Period**

- Usually 30 days for projects of minimal environmental impact and 60-120 days for major impact.
- Local CD, which meets monthly, determines if a permit needed. If so, CD will schedule inspection and submit a report at the next meeting. If a 310 permit is given, it is valid for 1 year. March is a good time to submit application for permit, which is usually needed by August.
- Time to check soil map (1 hour to 2 days). Schedule depends on consultant, demands, area of land and coordination with others. Usually 30 days for projects of minimal environmental impact and 60-120 days for major impact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Examples of Activities Affected/Regulated</th>
<th>Permit</th>
<th>Agency/Contact Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clean Water Act: Section 404 and</td>
<td>Mitigates wetland impacts associated</td>
<td>Highway construction, bridge and culvert replacement.</td>
<td>MDT tracks their wetland losses and mitigates in accordance with</td>
<td>Larry Urban, MDT 406-444-6224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Order #11000, Wetland Mitigation</td>
<td>with federally funded transportation projects.</td>
<td></td>
<td>with no net loss policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Water Act: Section 401</td>
<td>Ensures that actions related</td>
<td>Pond enlargement, filling for commercial and family dwellings, pipeline construction, roadway</td>
<td>401 Certification</td>
<td>Jeff Ryan, DEQ 406-444-4626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Certification</td>
<td>to any federal permit or license are consistent with the state’s</td>
<td>construction, drainage of a wetland, blockage of fish passage, and habitat destruction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Water Act: Section 404 Advanced Identification, Permit Review,</td>
<td>Restores and maintains chemical, physical, and biological</td>
<td>Construction, industrial, and mining and gas activities that discharge storm waters to state waters</td>
<td>404 Permit</td>
<td>Dick Blodnick, EPA 406-441-1140, ext. 231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge and Fill Permit</td>
<td>integrity of national waters,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jean Ramer, ACOE 406-441-1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered Species Act, and Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act</td>
<td>including wetlands, by regulating the placement of dredge and fill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rob Hazelwood, USFWS 406-449-5225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Clean Water Act, Montana Water Quality Act, Montana Administrative</td>
<td>Provides a means to conserve</td>
<td>Burning, clearcutting, operating vehicles off established roads, disposal of hazardous or</td>
<td>Montana Pollution Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) Permits</td>
<td>DEQ, Fred Shewman 406-444-5329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule 17.30.1301</td>
<td>ecosystems upon which the endangered and</td>
<td>toxic materials in a manner that pollutes wetlands or that may cause damage to</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nicholas Bugosh 406-444-3927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Administrative Rule 26.6.601</td>
<td>threatened species depend.</td>
<td>humans, animals or plants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Administrative Rule 16.20.633 (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Environmental Policy Act</td>
<td>Protects riparian zone from</td>
<td>Bridge construction, streambank stabilization, channel construction</td>
<td>Short Term Exemption from Montana's Surface Water Quality Standards</td>
<td>DNRC, 406-542-4300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>logging practices.</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Administrative Rule 231</td>
<td>Temporarily exempts certain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>construction action in water from</td>
<td>Bridge construction, streambank stabilization, channel construction</td>
<td>Short Term Exemption from Montana's Surface Water Quality Standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>turbidity water quality standards.</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act and National Environmental Protection Act</td>
<td>Protects wetlands.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Short Term Exemption from Montana's Surface Water Quality Standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensures that government acts that impact wetlands are analyzed and</td>
<td>Logging, fire management, permitted grazing, any construction activities that impact the environment</td>
<td>State agency reviews impacts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>documented in a detailed environmental impact report.</td>
<td></td>
<td>State agency reviews impacts</td>
<td>Environmental Quality Council 406-444-3742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Streambed and Land Protection Act</td>
<td>Requires permit to engage in any</td>
<td>Logging, fire management, permitted grazing, any construction activities that impact the</td>
<td>Federal or state agency reviews impacts</td>
<td>Dave Hellig, NRCS 406-587-6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>activity that will modify a stream, its bed or banks</td>
<td>environment</td>
<td>Federal or state agency reviews impacts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation diversions, streambank stabilization with riprap, equipment crossings, culverts, bridges,</td>
<td>310 Permit</td>
<td>MT Association of Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Districts, 406-443-5711; or county CD or NRCS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We hope the preceding pages have helped you find your way through the permits and regulations of wetland protection laws. Now that you know more, perhaps you see the importance of any actions you decide to take on your land. We hope this Landowner’s Guide has made it easier for you to manage your wetlands with care.

**Acronyms In This Guide**

**ACOE**- US Army Corps of Engineers  
**BLM**- Bureau of Land Management  
**BOR**- Bureau of Reclamation  
**CD**- Conservation District  
**CRP**- Conservation Reserve Program  
**DEQ**- Department of Environmental Quality  
**DU**- Ducks Unlimited  
**EPA**- Environmental Protection Agency  
**EQIP**- Environmental Quality Incentives Program  
**FSA**- Farm Service Agency  
**FSP/SIP**- Forest Stewardship Program/Stewardship Incentive Program  
**MARSH**- Matching Aid to Restore States Habitat Program  
**MDFWP**- Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks  
**NRCS**- Natural Resources Conservation Service  
**PFW**- Partners for Wildlife  
**USDA**-United States Department of Agriculture  
**USDI**- United States Department of the Interior  
**USFWS**- United States Fish and Wildlife Service  
**WHIP**- Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program  
**WRP**- Wetlands Reserve Program
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